Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 1 of 22

"Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 1 of 22

	1	Blakeley E. Griffith, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12386
	2	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: 702.784.5200
	3	
	4	Facsimile: 702.784.5252
	5	bgriffith@swlaw.com Attorneys for Energy Group Consultants, Inc. of
	6	Energy Group Consultants, LLC
	7	ar a
	8	IN THE UNITED STATES
	9	
	10	DISTRICT OF
	11	ANDREW PERRONG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,
:001	12	Plaintiff,
	13	V.
LLP. LAW OFFICES Howard Hughes Parkway, Suit Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702.784,5200	14	SPERION ENERGY CORP., a Nevada
AW OF Hughes gas, Ne	15	corporation, and ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC., a Kansas
Howard Las Ve	16	corporation,
3883 H	17	Defendants.
	18	
	19	TOMORROW ENERGY CORP fka SPERIAN ENERGY CORP, a Nevada
	20	corporation,
		Cross-Claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff,
	21	v.
	22	ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC., a
	23	Kansas corporation,
	24	Cross-Defendant, and
	25	ENERGY GROUP CONULTANTS, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company,
	26	Third-Party Defendant.
	27	Innu-Farty Detendant.
	28	

STATES DISTRICT COURT ICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF

ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND** RELIEF

and

ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC. AND ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST G-**ENERGY ENTERPRISES LLC**



Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 2 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 2 of 22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

12

14 15

17

16

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

///

///

28

ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC., a Kansas corporation, and ENERGY GROUP CONULTANTS, LLC, a Kansas limited liability company,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

V.

G-ENERGY ENTERPRISES LLC,

Third-Party Defendant.

Defendant Energy Group Consultants, Inc. ("EGC"), by and through its attorneys of record, answers Plaintiff Andrew Perrong's ("Plaintiff") First Amended Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Answering Paragraph 1, EGC admits that Plaintiff purports to state a claim for violations of the TCPA, but denies they have done so. Further, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 1 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 14 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA") is a federal status that speaks for itself. To the extent that Paragraph 1 contains factual allegations, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2, EGC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies them.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3, EGC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies them.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4, EGC admits that EGC is a Kansas corporation with a registered agent of Robert Jason King, 3402 Airport Circle, Pittsburg, Kansas 66762. Except as expressly stated herein, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

-2-

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 3 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 3 of 22

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Answering Paragraph 5, EGC admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction because there is original jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, in this Court for claims asserted under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, and the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1435. Except as expressly stated herein, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6, EGC admits that it contracted with Sperian in the State of Nevada to perform telemarking activities. Except as expressly stated herein, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7, EGC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies them.

IV. THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 1991 47 U.S.C. § 227

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 8 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 8 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 9 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 9 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 10 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 10 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 11 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 11 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 4 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 4 of 22

1 2

3

4

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

13

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Factual Allegations Regarding Sperian Energy

- 12. Answering Paragraph 12, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16, EGC admits that Sperian hired EGC. EGC denies all other allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 17. Answering Paragraph 17, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 18. Answering Paragraph 18, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 19. Answering Paragraph 19, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 20. Answering Paragraph 20, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

B. Factual Allegations Regarding Plaintiff

21. Answering Paragraph 21, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

 $R \parallel I$

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 5 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 5 of 22

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Answering Paragraph 22, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 22. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 23, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 23. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 24, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 24. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 25, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 25. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 26, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 26. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 27, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 27. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 28, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 28. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 29, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 29. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 30, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 30. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 6 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 6 of 22

- 31. Answering Paragraph 31, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 38. Answering Paragraph 38, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 40. Answering Paragraph 40, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Factual Allegations Regarding Additional Consumer and Regulatory Complaints C.

- Answering Paragraph 41, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 41. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 42, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 42. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 43, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 43. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 44, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to 44. form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

Sperian's Liability for the Conduct of Energy Group Consultants D.

- Answering Paragraph 45, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 45 contain 45. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 45 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 46, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 46 contain 46. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 46 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 46, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 47, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 47 contain 47. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 47 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 8 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 8 of 22

1 2

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

18 19 20

17

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

allegations contained in Paragraph 47, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

- Answering Paragraph 48, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 48 contain 48. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 48 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph
- Answering Paragraph 49, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 49 contain 49. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 49 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 50, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 50 contain 50. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 50 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 50, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 51, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 51 contain 51. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 51 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 51, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 52, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 52 contain 52. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 52 requires any response, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 53, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 53 contain 53. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 53 requires any response, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 9 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 9 of 22

- 54. Answering Paragraph 54, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 54 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 54 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 54, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 55 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 55 requires any response, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 56. Answering Paragraph 56, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 56 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 56 requires any response, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 57 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 57 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 57, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58, EGC states that EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 58, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 59 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that Paragraph 59 requires any response, EGC is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 59, and on that basis denies each and every allegation of this paragraph
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60, EGC admits that it was not explicitly named in the first complaint. EGC denies all other allegations contained in this paragraph.

...

28 | ///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS VI.

- Answering Paragraph 61, EGC admits that Plaintiff purports to bring a class action 61. on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated. To the extent a further response is required, EGC denies that the proposed national classes (Class 1 - autodialer claims) or Class 2 -Do Not Call claims) are appropriate class definitions as to persons who could assert claims against ECG and denies that class certification is appropriate in this matter. Furthermore, EGC denies that there would be personal jurisdiction in this court for the TCPA claims of non-Nevada class members. See, e.g., Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California, San Francisco Cty., 137 S. Ct. 1773, 1776, 198 L. Ed. 2d 395 (2017) ("Bristol-Myers") (no standing for out-of-state putative class members).
- Answering Paragraph 62, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 62 contain 62. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies the alleged numerosity of the proposed "Class 1" and "Class 2" and denies that class certification is appropriate in this matter.
- Answering Paragraph 63, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 63, and its 63. subparts, contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies that the issues of law and fact identified by Plaintiff in this paragraph, and its subparts, would be common to all members of the putative classes.
- Answering Paragraph 64, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 64 contain 64. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies that Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other purported members of the proposed "Class 1" and "Class 2" and denies that class certification is appropriate in this matter.
- Answering Paragraph 65, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 65 contain 65. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies the allegation in this paragraph, denies that the "Class 1" and "Class 2" are appropriate class definitions, and denies that class certification is appropriate in this matter.
- Answering Paragraph 66, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 66 contain 66. legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required,

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 11 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 11 of 22

1 2

3

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

16 17

1819

2021

22

23

2425

2627

28

EGC denies the allegation in this paragraph that common questions of law or fact would predominate.

- 67. Answering Paragraph 67, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 67 contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies allegations of this paragraph that a class action would be superior here.
- 68. Answering Paragraph 68, EGC states that the allegations in Paragraph 68, contain legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that a further response is required, EGC denies that it has acted or refused to act on grounds applicable to the members of the putative classes and denies the allegation that declaratory or injunctive relief would be appropriate.

VII. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227)

- 69. Answering Paragraph 69, EGC incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 68 as though fully set forth herein.
 - 70. Answering Paragraph 70, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 71. Answering Paragraph 71, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.
 - 72. Answering Paragraph 72, EGC denies each and every allegation of this paragraph.

RESPONSE TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF

EGC denies that Plaintiff is entitled to certification of the proposed Classes, appointment of Plaintiff as representative of the Classes; appointment of Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Classes; any actual, statutory, or exemplary damages; attorneys' fees and costs; declaratory or injunctive relief; or any other form of relief against EGC as set forth in the Complaint's prayer for relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

By setting forth these affirmative defenses, EGC does not assume the burden of proving any fact, issue, or element of a claim for relief where such burden properly belongs to Plaintiff. EGC reserves the right to assert additional defenses upon discovery of further information regarding Plaintiff's claims and upon the development of other relevant information.

//

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 12 of 22

1

_

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There is no personal jurisdiction over EGC in this Court as to the claims asserted by non-Nevada putative class members. See, e.g., Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California, San Francisco Cty., 137 S. Ct. 1773 (2017).

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

One or more members of the putative class lack standing to maintain the instant causes of action.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff or one or more members of the putative class gave prior express consent to receive the telephone calls at issue in this case.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

All of the claims constitute an undue burden on speech and thus violate the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

All claims for class-wide relief contained in the Complaint are barred because class certification is inappropriate in this matter under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because EGC's conduct is not unlawful in that EGC complies with applicable statutes and regulations.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims against EGC are barred because any harm allegedly suffered by Plaintiff and/or putative class members was caused and/or contributed to by third parties over whom EGC has no control with respect to the time, means, method, or manner by which they conduct business or personal affairs.

| | ///

1 ///

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 13 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 13 of 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because EGC did not intend to call him without his prior consent.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because EGC did not engage in willful and/or knowing misconduct.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The statutory damages sought are excessive in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution and are in violation of the due process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The acts and statements of EGC were fair and reasonable and were performed in good faith based on all the relevant facts known to EGC. EGC acted with a good faith belief that it had good cause and/or a legitimate business reason to act as it did and did not directly or indirectly perform any acts that would constitute a violation of Plaintiff's rights. Thus, Plaintiff is not entitled to any damages whatsoever.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Under the TCPA, if there was a violation by EGC, which EGC denies, it was unintentional and resulted despite maintenance of reasonable policies and procedures adopted to avoid any such violation and.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment against Plaintiff as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his Complaint;
- 2. That the Court deny certification of any class;

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 14 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 14 of 22

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 7 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13 14 157.784.5200 157.784.2700
 - 1617
 - 18 19
 - 2021
 - 2223
 - 24
 - 2526
 - 27
 - 28

- 3. That the Court enter judgment in favor of EGC; and
- 4. That the Court award such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC'S AND ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

Third-Party Plaintiff Energy Group Consultants, Inc. ("EGC INC")" and Third-Party Plaintiff Energy Group Consultants, LLC ("EGC LLC" and collectively hereinafter, "EGC") allege the following against Third-Party Defendant G-Energy Enterprises, LLC ("G-Energy");

INTRODUCTION

- 1. EGC brings this Third-Party Complaint for breach of contract, indemnity, injunctive relief, and declaratory relief against G-Energy, arising from an Independent Contractor Agreement (the "Agreement") entered into by EGC LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of EGC, and G-Energy on or about October 1, 2018.
- 2. G-Energy's obligations under the Agreement cover all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by EGC in the above-entitled lawsuit against EGC (the "Perrong Action").

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 3. EGC INC is a Kansas Corporation and EGC LLC is a Kansas limited liability company, and they are in the business of direct sales marketing for residential and commercial energy sales.
 - 4. G-Energy is now, and at all times relevant, a Delaware limited liability company.
- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction exists with respect to the TCPA claims asserted in the Perrong Action. Supplemental jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the Third-Party Complaint is "so related to the claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution."
- 6. Additionally, diversity jurisdiction exists over the claims by EGC against G-Energy. As set forth in paragraphs 3 and 4, there is complete diversity of citizenship between EGC and G-Energy. In addition, the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000 as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 15 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 15 of 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 14(a) provides that a "defending party
may, as	a third-party plaintiff, serve a summons and complaint on a nonparty who is or may be
liable to	it for all or part of the claim against it."

- This Third-Party Complaint arises out of the transaction that is the subject matter of 8. the Perrong Action, which triggers G-Energy's obligations to defend and indemnify EGC for conduct alleged by Andrew Perrong ("Plaintiff") pursuant to the Agreement.
- Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Plaintiff filed the Perrong 9. action against EGC in this Court, and Plaintiff claims that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Third-Party Complaint occurred here.
- Additionally, dismissal or transfer of the Third-Party Complaint would be 10. unreasonable given the interrelated Perrong Action, this Court's supplemental jurisdiction over the matter, and the factors weighing in favor of this venue, including judicial efficiency and the avoidance of inconsistent rulings.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The Agreement between EGC and G-Energy

- On or about October 1, 2018, EGC and G-Energy entered into an Agreement for G-11. Energy to perform services and solicit orders for products, systems, and services as requested by EGC.
- In Section 1.1 of the Agreement, G-Energy agreed to perform the services under the 12. Agreement "in accordance with all laws, rules, regulations and such legal and ethical standards as shall be applicable during the term of this Agreement."
- In Section 1.3(e), G-Energy agreed to render services under the Agreement in a 13. "professional and business-like manner, treat customers and potential customers with courtesy and respect, and to use his/her best efforts to preserve the business and goodwill of [EGC] and [EGC's] clients.
- In Section 1.3(f), G-Energy agreed that "under no circumstances shall he or she 14. utilize electronic mail or telemarketing tactics to in any way conduct sales pursuant to this Agreement."

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 16 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 16 of 22

15. In Section 1.2(e), G-Energy agreed to indemnify EGC as follows:

[G-Energy] shall indemnify and hold the [EGC] harmless against any and all loss, damage, cost, expense or liability imposed or claimed, including attorney's fees and the legal expenses, arising directly or indirectly from any act or failure of [G-Energy].

16. In Section 7, G-Energy further agreed to indemnify EGC as follows:

Indemnification. [G-Energy] does hereby agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless [EGC] and its affiliates, and their trustees, officers, employees, agents, contractors, and servants from any and all claims and liabilities of any type or nature whatsoever arising out of any act, omission or negligence by [G-Energy], its officers, employees, agents, contractors or servants which may no or hereafter arise out of our result from or in any way be related to the provision of Services pursuant to this Agreement. [G-Energy] shall be liable for any penalty and interest charges assessed as a result of [G-Energy]'s negligence in connection with state and federal tax returns. This Section shall survive termination of this Agreement.

The Perrong Action

- 17. On or about January 21, 2019, Plaintiff filed the Perrong Action.
- 18. On or about March 5, 2019, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint naming EGC as a Defendant. EGC references the Perrong Action herein without admitting the truth of any of the allegations in the Perrong Action.
- 19. In the Perrong Action, Plaintiff alleges that "[f]rom December 12, 2018 through December 27, 2018, Mr. Perrong's residential telephone number was called more than 25 times by [EGC] to offer Sperian services."
 - 20. Any calls to Mr. Perrong were made by G-Energy.
- 21. When G-Energy allegedly called Plaintiff's telephone number it was not acting as EGC's agent.
- 22. G-Energy had no actual or implied authority to call Mr. Perrong's number on EGC's behalf.
 - 23. If G-Energy allegedly called Plaintiff, that conduct was not ratified by EGC.
- 24. If G-Energy allegedly called Plaintiff, EGC did not have knowledge or control of G-Energy's conduct.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 17 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 17 of 22

1

2

3

- 25. Additionally, Defendant Sperian Energy Group Corp. ("Sperian") has filed Crossclaims and a Third-Party Complaint against Energy Group Consultants LLC and Energy Group Consultant Inc. (the "Sperian Action"). EGC references the Sperian Action herein without admitting the truth of any of the allegations in the Sperian Action.
- 26. Sperian has brought claims against EGC for breach of contract, indemnity, and declaratory relief related to the Perrong Action.
- 27. If a violation occurred from calls placed by G-Energy, G-Energy's indemnification obligations under the Agreement cover all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, settlements, and judgments incurred by EGC related to Plaintiff's allegations, the Perrong Action, and the Sperian Action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

- 28. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if set forth herein.
 - 29. The Agreement is a valid contract that exists between G-Energy and EGC LLC.
 - 30. EGC LLC has fully performed its obligations under the Agreement.
- 31. G-Energy's alleged errors, omissions, misconduct, acts, and/or negligence resulted in EGC being named as a defendant in the Perrong Action and the Sperian Action.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges that G-Energy called Plaintiff's number despite being told by EGC not to do so.
 - 33. IG-Energy breached the Agreement by:
 - (1) allegedly calling Plaintiff's telephone number;
 - (2) allegedly violating the TCPA;
 - (3) failing to provide the books and records to EGC as required by the Agreement;
 - (4) failing to indemnify EGC as required by the Agreement;
- 34. EGC has been damaged and continues to be damaged as a result of the Perrong Action, including with respect to legal costs and expenses incurred since the filing of the Perrong Action.

26

27

28

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 18 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 18 of 22

- 35. EGC has been further damaged in having to prepare this Third-Party Complaint to enforce G-Energy's obligations pursuant to the Agreement.
- 36. EGC will continue to be damaged as it incurs further costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees during the Perrong and Sperian Actions.
- 37. Pursuant to the Agreement, EGC is entitled to recover its costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred in defending itself in the Perrong and Sperian Actions and enforcing G-Energy's contractual obligations as set forth herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Contractual Indemnity

- 38. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if set forth herein.
- 39. Pursuant to the Agreement, G-Energy agreed to "defend, indemnify and hold harmless [EGC]."
- 40. G-Energy's alleged breach of the Agreement and its errors, omissions, misconduct, acts, and/or negligence resulted in EGC being named as a defendant in the Perrong and Sperian Actions.
- 41. EGC is not responsible for the damages alleged in the Perrong or Sperian Actions. If EGC is found responsible under the law for any of the allegations contained in the Perrong or Sperian Actions, then the conduct of G-Energy caused the actions alleged in the Perrong Action to happen.
- 42. EGC has been damaged and continues to be damaged as a result of Plaintiff's claims, including with respect to legal costs and expenses incurred since the filing of the Perrong Action.
- 43. EGC has been further damaged and continues to be damaged as a result of Sperian's claims with respect to legal costs and expenses incurred since the filing of the Sperian Action.
- 44. EGC has been further damaged in having to prepare this Third-Party Complaint to enforce its rights under the Agreement.
- 45. EGC will continue to be damaged as it incurs further costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, during the pendency of the Perrong and Sperian Action.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 19 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 19 of 22

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15 16

1718

1920

21

2223

24

2526

2728

46. G-Energy has an express duty and is obligated to defend, indemnify, and hold EGC harmless in an amount equal to EGC's costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, damages, future settlement, and liability in connection with the Perrong and Sperian Actions.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Declaratory Relief

- 47. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if set forth herein.
- 48. EGC has been damaged and continues to be damaged as a result of the claims of the Perrong and Sperian Actions, including with respect to legal costs and expenses incurred since the filing of the Perrong and Sperian Actions.
- 49. EGC has further been damaged in having to prepare this Third-Party Complaint to enforce G-Energy's obligations under the Agreement.
- 50. EGC will continue to be damaged as it incurs further costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, during the pendency of the Perrong and Sperian Action.
- 51. There is a genuine and bona fide dispute and an actual controversy regarding whether G-Energy has a duty to indemnify EGC against the claims and damages asserted in the Perrong and Sperian Actions.
- 52. EGC seeks declaratory relief pursuant to Nevada's Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 30.010 *et seq*.
- 53. G-Energy has an express duty and is obligated to defend, indemnify, and hold EGC harmless in an amount equal to EGC's costs and expenses, including attorney's fees and liability in connection with the Perrong and Sperian Actions.
 - 54. G-Energy has failed and refused to defend, indemnify, and hold EGC harmless.
- 55. EGC seeks a declaratory judgment that G-Energy has a duty to defend, including paying EGC's costs and expenses, including attorney's fees in the Perrong and Sperian Actions from their inception.
- 55. EGC seeks a declaratory judgment that G-Energy has a duty to indemnify EGC for any damages assessed to or liability incurred by EGC in the Perrong and Sperian Actions.

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 20 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 20 of 22

1

2

3

45

6

7 8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Injunctive Relief

- 56. The allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if set forth herein.
- 57. Pursuant to the Agreement, "[a]ll papers, books and records of every kind and description relating to the business and affairs of [EGC], or any of its affiliates, whether or not prepared by [G-Energy] shall be the sole and exclusive property of [EGC] and [G-Energy] shall surrender them to [EGC] at any time upon request by the Company."
- 58. EGC has requested that G-Energy provide it with all papers, books, and records related to the Perrong Action.
- 59. G-Energy has refused to provide the books and records to EGC related to the Perrong Action.
- 60. EGC seeks injunctive relief, restraining and enjoining G-Energy from transferring, concealing, destroying, defacing, or altering any of the books, records, printouts, or other writings relating to the Perrong Action.
- 61. In addition, EGC seeks injunctive relief requiring G-Energy to turn over possession of any of the books, records, printouts, or other writing related in any way to the Perrong Action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, EGC prays for the following relief against G-Energy:

- 1. For compensatory damages, including EGC's costs, expenses, and attorney's fees, in connection with or arising out of the Perrong and Sperian Actions in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. For total and complete indemnity for damages and liabilities assessed to EGC in the Perrong and Sperian Actions;
 - 3. For pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
 - 4. For a declaratory judgment stating that:
- a. G-Energy has a duty to defend EGC in the Perrong and Sperian Actions, including paying costs, expenses, and attorney's fees;

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 21 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 21 of 22

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
<u> </u>	13
702 784 5200	14
702 78	15
691	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

b.	G-Energy has a duty to indemnify EGC in the Perrong and Sperian Actions
for any damages a	ssessed or liability incurred by Sperian in the Perrong Action;

- 5. For injunctive relief prohibiting G-Energy from transferring, concealing, destroying, defacing, or altering any of the books, records, printouts, or other writings relating to the Perrong Action.
 - 6. For such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: May 28, 2019.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By: /s/ Blakeley E. Griffith
Blakeley E. Griffith (NV Bar No. 12386)
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: 702.784.5200
Facsimile: 702.784.5252
Attorneys for Energy Group Consultants, Inc. and Energy Group Consultants, LLC

Case 2:19-cv-03130-CDJ Document 1-3 Filed 07/15/19 Page 22 of 22

Case 2:19-cv-00115-RFB-GWF Document 40 Filed 05/28/19 Page 22 of 22

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 28, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND RELIEF AND ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, INC. AND ENERGY GROUP CONSULTANTS, LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST G-ENERGY ENTERPRISES LLC with the Clerk of Court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada by using the Court's CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

DATED this 28th day of May 2019.

/s/ Jeanne Forrest

An employee of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

- 22 -